rapidly equilibrating 1,2-dimethyl-2-benzonorbornenyl cations are best pictured as partially bridged carbenium ions with charge delocaliztion into the fused benzo ring, wherein the related benzonortricyclyl structures are considered only as minor contributors.

## Experimental Sectịon

Materials. 2-Methyl-2-endo-benzonorborneol (10) and 1,2-di-methyl-2-endo-benzonorborneol (22) were prepared according to the procedures reported by Goering et al. ${ }^{4}{ }^{7}$

1-Methyl-2-exo-chlorobenzonorbornene (11) was prepared by chlorination of $\mathbf{1 0}$ with concentrated hydrochloric acid at room temperature for two hours. The product was extracted with petroleum ether ( $30-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), washed, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and distilled: bp $54-56$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.02 \mathrm{~mm}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, capillary $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right) \delta 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, and 7.60 (s, 4 H ).
2-Ethyl-2-endo-benzonorborneol (14) was prepared from 2-benzonorbornenone with ethylmagnesium bromide in anhydrous ether: $\mathrm{mp} 59-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (pentane).

Preparation of Carbocations. In general, benzonorbornenyl cations were prepared by careful addition of a suspension of appropriate benzonorbornenyl precursors in $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{ClF}$ to either $\mathrm{FSO}_{3} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{FSO}_{3} \mathrm{H}-$ $\mathrm{SbF}_{5}$, or $\mathrm{SbF}_{5}$ solution in $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{ClF}$ at dry ice-acetone temperature (ca. $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) with vigorous stirring to give $\sim 10 \%$ solutions of the ions. An appropriate portion of the resulting solutions were immediately transferred to precooled NMR tube for NMR measurement. Details are as reported previously. ${ }^{5}$

Proton and Carbon-13 NMR Spectroscopy. Both proton and car-bon-13 NMR spectra were obtained as previously reported. ${ }^{5}$
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#### Abstract

The crystal structures of two synthetic lactam derivatives of digitoxigenin have been determined by x-ray crystallography. The crystal data for the major product: ( $20 S, 21 R$ )-3 -hydroxy-14,21-epoxy- $5 \beta, 14 \beta, 20$-cardanolactam ( 4 a) hydrate, orthorhombic system, $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}, a=11.953, b=23.611, c=7.502 \AA, Z=4$. The crystal data for the minor product: ( $20 R, 21 S$ )-3 -hydroxy-14,21-epoxy- $5 \beta, 14 \beta, 20$-cardanolactam (4b), monoclinic, $P 2_{1}, a=11.472, b=7.7771, c=11.463 \AA$, $\beta=97.71^{\circ}, Z=2$. These crystal structures establish the stereochemistry of the $\mathrm{C}(20), \mathrm{C}(21)$ ring junctions as cis-equatorialaxial and cis-axial-equatorial for $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$, respectively. The structure of $\mathbf{4 a}$ also indicates that isodigitoxigenin also has a cis-equatorial-axial configuration. There are no unusually short intramolecular nonbonded contacts involving the lactam rings in either structure, although models had indicated these configurations would require close contacts. The only conformational differences between these two structures and digitoxigenin occur in the region of the lactam ring despite the strain introduced by the formation of a ring to $\mathrm{O}(14)$.


Cardiac glycosides and aglycones have an inotropic effect in both the failing heart and isolated cardiac preparations. They also are known to inhibit cardiac microsomal $\mathrm{Na}^{+} /$ $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-ATPase at the same concentration levels. These observations have led to proposals involving a direct casual relationship between the enzymic inhibition and the positive inotropic response. ${ }^{2}$ Other studies, however, suggest a dissociation of the two biological responses. ${ }^{3-5}$ Several derivatives of digitoxigenin (1) were used in the studies showing the dissociated responses. However, some conflict concerning the stereochemistry of these derivatives ( $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4 a}$, and 4b) has arisen.

Figure 1 shows part of the pathway ${ }^{3,6}$ leading to the three digitoxigenin derivatives ( $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4 a}$, and $\mathbf{4 b}$ ) in question. Ammonolysis of digitoxigenin (1) in $75 \%$ aqueous methanol afforded two isomeric lactolamides 2a and $\mathbf{2 b}$. Heating $\mathbf{2 a}$ to $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or treatment with warm glacial acetic acid caused rapid cyclization to lactam $\mathbf{4 a}$. Similar treatment of lactol-amide $\mathbf{2 b}$ gave the epimeric lactam $\mathbf{4 b}$.

The configuration of the $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{F}$ rings in isodigitoxigenin (3) was first postulated on conformational and steric grounds to have a trans-diequatorial junction, ${ }^{7}$ Figure 2c. The alternate trans configuration, Figure 2d, was eliminated on conforma-


3 ISODIGITOXIGENIN


$4 a, b$

Figure 1. Schematical diagram'showing the formation of isodigitoxigenin and the corresponding lactam derivatives from digitoxigenin.
tional grounds requiring a very highly distorted E ring. The cis-axial-equatorial, Figure 2b, configuration was eliminated on steric grounds involving contact between $\mathrm{C}(18)$ and $\mathrm{C}(22)$. The cis-equatorial-axial, Figure 2a, configuration was not considered possible because of short steric contacts involving $O(21)$ of the lactone ring and $C(15)$ and $C(16)$.

Later NMR spectral data did not support the assignment of a trans-diequatorial configuration. ${ }^{6,8}$ The coupling constant $J$ for the $\mathrm{C}(21)$ proton was measured to be 4 to 5 Hz . Based on these measurements, the cis-equatorial-axial configuration was chosen as the most likely configuration. ${ }^{6.8,9}$ In addition, the lactam derivatives ( $\mathbf{4 a , b}$ ) of isodigitoxigenin were found to have similar $J$ values for the $C(21)$ proton and were assigned cis-equatorial-axial and cis-axial-equatorial configurations for the major (4a) and minor (4b) products, respectively. ${ }^{6}$ However, the close proximity of two electronegative atoms combined with the same steric arguments made for isodigitoxigenin led to an alternate trans-diequatorial and cis-equatorial-axial configurational assignment for $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$, respectively. ${ }^{3,4}$

The crystal and molecular structures of derivatives $4 a$ and 4b have been solved in order to determine their configuration and also conformational features which when combined with the biological data may provide some insight into the shape of the enzyme binding sites.

## Experimental Section

(20S)- and (20R)-3ק,21-Dihydroxy-14ק,21-oxidonorcholan-23-oic Acid Amides (2a) and (2b). A solution of 6.0 g of digitoxigenin (1) in 650 ml of absolute methanol was treated with a stream of dry ammonia gas for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then stirred for 10 days at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The excess ammonia was displaced by bubbling nitrogen through the solution. Evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure gave a crystalline residue which was washed with water, filtered, and dried. The water washings were acidified with cold $5 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and the resulting precipitate was extracted into chloroform. The chloroform extract was washed with water, dried ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), and evaporated under reduced pressure to afford 0.43 g of colorless needles of isodigitoxigenin (3), mp 271-273 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The major crystalline residue separated above was recrystallized from methanol to give 2.1 g of $\mathbf{2 a}$, which was further recrystallized from methanol to give shiny plates, mp $271-273^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}-5^{\circ}(c$ 1, pyridine); $\nu_{\text {max }}{ }^{\mathrm{KBr}} 3540,3420$, and $1670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR (pyridine- $d_{5}$ ) 1.00 (C-19 methyl), 1.26 (C-18 methyl), 3.56 (methanol of solvation), $4.36(3 \alpha-\mathrm{H}), 4.80\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.43(3 \beta-\mathrm{OH}), 5.76(\mathrm{C}-21-\mathrm{H}), 9.08(\mathrm{C}-$ $21-\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{H}$-bonded to carbonyl of amide) ppm.

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}: \mathrm{C}, 65.90$; H. 9.96. Found: C, $66.05 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.77$.

The mother liquor from the above recrystallization was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure, and the resulting residue was dissolved in a mixture of 25 ml of methanol and 5 ml of glacial acetic acid. The mixture was warmed to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min , then kept for 8 h

a) cis-equatorial-axial [205, 215]

c) trans-diequatorial
[20s,24R]

b) eis-axial-equatorial [20R,21R]

d) trans diaxial
[20R,21S]

Figure 2. The four possible stereoisomers of isodigitoxigenin.
at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Thin-layer chromatography showed the presence of three products (I, II, III), none of which corresponded to starting materialor $\mathbf{2 a}$. With the aid of a fraction collector the mixture was separated by column chromatography on 140 g of silica gel (Merck $0.2-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) using a mixture of $10 \%$ benzene, $20 \%$ acetone, and $70 \%$ anhydrous ether as eluent. There was obtained 0.20 g of compound $\mathbf{2 b}$, (fraction III) in crystalline form. Recrystallization from methanol afforded colorless needles with a double mp $200-203^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $263-265^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}+44^{\circ}(c 1$, pyridine $): \nu_{\max }{ }^{\mathrm{KBr}} 3400,3250$, and $1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR (pyridine- $d_{5}$ ) 1.00 (C-19 methyl), 1.18 (C-18 methyl), 3.41 (methanol of solvation), $4.38(3 \alpha-\mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{C}-21-\mathrm{H}), 4.86\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$, $5.50(3 \beta-\mathrm{OH}), 9.55(\mathrm{C}-21-\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{H}$-bonded to carbonyl of amide) ppm.

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}: \mathrm{C}, 68.05 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.76$. Found: C, $68.50 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.04$.
(20S,21R)- and (20R,21S)-3 $\beta$-Hydroxy-14,21-epoxy$5 \beta, 14 \beta, 20$-cardanolactams $(4 a, b)$. When amide $2 a$ was heated to 200 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, cyclization to lactam $\mathbf{4 a}$ occurred. The same result was obtained by treatment with warm glacial acetic acid. Recrystallization from aqueous methanol gave shiny plates, $\mathrm{mp} 275-277^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}-19^{\circ}$ (c 1 , pyridine); $\nu_{\max } \mathrm{KBr} 3521,3448$, and $1681 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR 1.00 (C-18 and C-19 methyls), $4.16(3 \alpha-\mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-21-\mathrm{H}), 5.96$ (NH) ppm. The mass spectrum showed $\mathrm{M}^{+} 373$ corresponding to the anhydrous material.

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 70.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.53 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.58$. Found: C, $70.94 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.41 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.57$.

Heating amide 2 b to $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the same way or by treatment with warm glacial acetic acid resulted in cyclization to lactam $\mathbf{4 b}$. Recrystallization from methanol-ethyl acetate gave colorless crystals: $\operatorname{mp} 266-268^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}-62^{\circ}$ (c 1, pyridine); $\nu_{\text {max }}{ }^{\mathrm{KBr}} 3472,3367$, 3279, and $1678 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR 0.99 (C-19 methyl), 1.13 (C-18 methyl), $4.15(3 \alpha-\mathrm{H}), 5.3(\mathrm{C}-21-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.68(\mathrm{NH}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 73.96 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.44 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.75$. Found: C, $73.74 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.61 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.81$.

The acetate of 4 a was obtained on treatment with acetic anhydride in pyridine solution at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The analytical sample crystallized from acetone-hexane mp 273-275 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}-39^{\circ}\left(c \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \nu_{\max } \mathrm{KBr}$ $3367,1724,1681,1258$, and $1235 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR 1.00 (C-18 and C-19 methyls), 2.03 ( $3 \beta$-acetate), $5.08(3 \alpha-\mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}$ -$21-\mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{NH}) \mathrm{ppm}$. The mass spectrum showed $\mathrm{M}^{+} 415$.

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 72.25 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.97 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.37$. Found: C, $72.75 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.89$; N, 3.58 .

The acetate of 4 b was obtained on treatment with acetic anhydride in pyridine solution. Recrystallization from ethanol gave colorless crystals: $\operatorname{mp} 241-243^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}-25^{\circ}\left(c \quad 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; NMR 0.99 (C-19 methyl), 1.11 (C-18 methyl), 2.03 ( $3 \beta$-acetate), 2.50 (multiplet C-22 methylene), $5.08(3 \alpha-\mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{C}-21-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}) 6.80$ ppm.

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NO}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 72.25 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.97 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.37$. Found: C, 72.28; H, 9.04; N, 3.41.

X-Ray Crystallography. Using samples of the two stereoisomers, ( $20 S, 21 R$ ) and ( $20 R, 21$ ), of $3 \beta$-hydroxy-14,21-epoxy- $5 \beta, 14 \beta, 20$ cardanolactam, intensity data were measured for each using a manually operated GE XRD-5 diffractometer to a maximum $2 \theta$ of $145^{\circ}$ by the stationary-counter, stationary-crystal technique employing balanced $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{Ni}$ filters. The background contribution was subtracted from the intensities, and they were converted to structure factor amplitudes by correcting for Lorentz and polarization factors. The relatively small size of crystals and the small absorption coefficients made absorption corrections unnecessary. The crystal and intensity data

Table I. Crystal and Intensity Measurement Data

| Name | (20S,21R)-3 $\beta$-Hydroxy-14,21-epoxy- $5 \beta, 14 \beta, 20$ cardanolactam (4a) hydrate | ( $20 R, 21 S$ )-3 $\beta$-Hydroxy-14,21-epoxy- <br> $5 \beta, 14 \beta, 20$-cardanolactam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Molecular formula | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ |
| Crystallization solvent | Methanol-water | Methanol-water |
| Crystal size | Rectangular plate $0.15 \times 0.40 \times 0.58 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Rectangular plate $0.08 \times 0.38 \times 0.67$ mm |
| Space group | $P 2,2,21$ | $P 21$ |
| Unit cell parameters (esd) | $a=11.953$ (1) $\AA$ | $a=11.472$ (1) $\AA$ |
|  | $b=23.611$ (4) | $b=7.7771$ (6) |
|  | $c=7.502(1)$ | $c=11.463$ (1) |
|  |  | $\beta=97.71$ (1) ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| Z | 4 | 2 |
|  | $2117 \AA^{3}$ | $1014 \AA^{3}$ |
| Pycnometry density, $125 \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ |  |  |
| $\rho_{\text {expl }}$ | $1.25 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ | $1.22 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ |
| $\rho_{\text {x-ray }}$ | 1.22 | 1.22 |
| X-ray radiation | $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha_{1}$ | $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha_{1}$ |
| Wavelength | 1.5405 A | 1.5405 A |
| Max $2 \theta$ | $140^{\circ}$ | $140^{\circ}$ |
| Total number of unique data (data $3 \sigma$ above background) | 2421 (2094) | 2168 (1972) |

Table II. ( $20 S, 21 R$ )-3 -Hydroxy-14,21-epoxy- $5 \beta, 14 \beta, 20$-cardanolactam Hydrate

| (STANOARO OEVIATIONS ARE GIVEN IN PAHENTMESIS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TME PORM | OF THE MNI\$01 | PIC TmERMAL | PARAMETER IS | EXPl= (8) |  | 833016 L 8 | -x bl3 | - $823 \times x+L$ |  |
| 470 m | x/4 | Y/8 | 210 | B11 | 822 | 833 | 812 | 813 | 823 |
| (1) | $0.2783(3)$ | $0.3477(2)$ | 0.3401(5) | $0.0263(3)$ | e.00143(6) | $0.0139(6)$ | -0.0000(2) | -0.0096 ( 8) | -0.0802(4) |
| C(2) | 0.3356 (3) | 0.3488 (2) | $0.1638(6)$ | $0.0256(2)$ | 0.00194 (7) | $0.0188(8)$ | -0.0007(3) | 0.0206 (9) | $0.0012(4)$ |
| C(3) | $0.2591(4)$ | $0.3720(2)$ | $0.0207(0)$ | $0.0208(3)$ | $0.00190(7)$ | $0.0141(6)$ | -0.2014(3) | 0.0824 ( 8) | $0.0012(0)$ |
| C(a) | $0.1081(4)$ | $0.3402(2)$ | $0.0189(5)$ | 0.8068(3) | $0.28182(7)$ | $0.8180(6)$ | -0.0005(3) | -0.0008 ( 7) | $0.0008(8)$ |
| C(5) | 0.8912 (3) | $0.3355(1)$ | 0.2017 (5) | $0.0054(2)$ | $0.00140(6)$ | 0.0096 (5) | $0.0003(2)$ | -0.0007 ( 71 | -0.0882(3) |
| C(b) | -0.0175 (3) | $0.3088(2)$ | $0.1857(6)$ | $0.0051(2)$ | $0.00140(6)$ | $0.0156(6)$ | $0.0005(2)$ | -0.0018 ( 8) | $0.0805(4)$ |
| C(7) | $0.0092(3)$ | $0.2374(1)$ | $0.1612(5)$ | $0.0051(2)$ | $0.00142(6)$ | $0.0135(6)$ | -0.020:(2) | -0.0042( 8) | $0.0803(3)$ |
| ( 6 ( ) | $0.8828(3)$ | Q.2136(1) | $0.3043(5)$ | $0.0045(2)$ | $0.00130(5)$ | $0.0102(5)$ | $0.0003(2)$ | -8.0817 ${ }^{0} 7$ | -8.0208(3) |
| C(0) | $0.1932(3)$ | $0.2477(1)$ | $0.3127(5)$ | $0.4047(2)$ | $0.00135(6)$ | $0.0110(5)$ | -0.0001(2) | -0.0028 ( 7 ) | -8.0002(3) |
| C(18) | $0.1698(3)$ | $0.3118(1)$ | $0.3480(5)$ | $0.0054(2)$ | $0.00132(6)$ | $0.0800(5)$ | $0.8002(2)$ | -0.0005 ( 7) | -0.0808 (3) |
| C(11) | $0.2785(3)$ | $0.2218(2)$ | $0.4429(0)$ | $0.8842(3)$ | $0.00144(6)$ | $0.0101(8)$ | $0.0005(2)$ | -2.0876(8) | -0.0825 (4) |
| C(12) | $0.2955(3)$ | $0.1589(2)$ | $0.0102(6)$ | $0.0054(2)$ | 0.00146 (6) | 0.0194 (7) | $0.8005(2)$ | -0.0082 ( 8) | -2.0012 (4) |
| C (13) | $0.1858(3)$ | $0.1258(2)$ | $0.4189(5)$ | $0.0058(2)$ | $0.00145(6)$ | $0.0106(5)$ | $0.2006(2)$ | -0.0032 ( 7 ) | -0.0002(3) |
| C(14) | 2.1028(3) | $0.1500(1)$ | $0.2827(4)$ | $0.0005(2)$ | 0.00128 (b) | $0.8101(5)$ | $0.0002(2)$ | -8.0810 0 ( 6 | -8.2882(3) |
| C(15) | $0.1516(3)$ | $0.1310(2)$ | 0.1816 (5) | $0.0861(2)$ | $0.08155(6)$ | $0.8108(5)$ | $0.8044(2)$ | $0.0804{ }^{\text {c }} 7$ | -0.0085(3) |
| C(16) | $0.2176(4)$ | $0.0762(2)$ | 0.1394 (5) | $0.0067(3)$ | 0.00150 (0) | $0.0150(7)$ | $0.0004(2)$ | $0.0012(1)$ | -8.0014(4) |
| C(17) | 0.1993 (3) | $0.0652(2)$ | $0.3410(5)$ | $0.0063(3)$ | $0.80134(0)$ | $0.0133(0)$ | $0.0005(2)$ | -0.0030 ( 8) | $0.0003(4)$ |
| C(18) | d.1023(4) | $0.1264(2)$ | $0.6122(5)$ | $2.8299(4)$ | $0.80184(7)$ | $0.0110(0)$ | $0.2018(3)$ | -2.0002 (9) | $0.8003(0)$ |
| C(19) | 4.1173(4) | 2.3211(2) | $0.5336(5)$ | $0.0080(3)$ | $0.00153(0)$ | $0.0098(5)$ | $0.8288(3)$ | $0.0015(8)$ | -0.0089(3) |
| C(20) | 2.2929(4) | 0.0313(2) | $0.3783(0)$ | $0.0071(3)$ | $0.00137(6)$ | $0.8159(7)$ | $0.0001(2)$ | -0.0044 ( 8) | $0.8006(4)$ |
| C(21) | -2.0139(3) | 0.0637(2) | $0.3195(6)$ | C.0064(3) | $0.00145(0)$ | $0.0159(7)$ | -0.2803 (2) | -2.0025 (8) | $0.0085(4)$ |
| C(22) | 0.0857 (4) | -2.2244(2) | $0.2745(0)$ | $0.0888(3)$ | $0.80120(0)$ | 0.0227 (9) | $0.0842(3)$ | -0.0102(10) | $0.2005(4)$ |
| C(23) | $0.0122(4)$ | -0.0109(2) | $0.1161(0)$ | $0.0880(3)$ | $0.80148(6)$ | $0.8219(8)$ | -8.2005 (3) | -0.0895(10) | -0.0810 (4) |
| N(21) | -0.0390(3) | $0.8387(1)$ | $0.1454(5)$ | 2.0069(2) | 2.00136(5) | $0.0208(7)$ | -0.0801(2) | -0.0078 ( 7 | -0.0013(a) |
| $0(38)$ | 2.2442(3) | $0.4317(1)$ | $0.0543(4)$ | $0.0093(2)$ | $0.00176(5)$ | $0.0178(5)$ | -0.0018(2) | -0.0028 ( 7 ) | $0.801433)$ |
| 0 (14A) | -0.2ct2 (2) | e.1239(1) | 0.3104(4) | $0.8047(1)$ | 0.08148(4) | $0.0151(4)$ | $0.0201(1)$ | 0.8203 (5) | -0.880) (2) |
| O(23) | $0.2383(3)$ | -0.0413(1) | -6.8154(5) | 0.011: (3) | $0.00194(5)$ | $0.0297(7)$ | $0.0012(2)$ | $-0.0134(8)$ | -0.0896(3) |
| $0(W)$ | 0.1419 (4) | $0.4905(2)$ | 2.1982(5) | $0.0157(4)$ | $0.00288(7)$ | $0.0285(7)$ | -0.0025(3) | -0.0114 (9) | $0.8450(4)$ |
| ATM | 1/4 | 7/8 | 215 | 8190 | $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{OM}$ | $x / 1$ | P18 | 210 | 01sc |
| m( ${ }^{(1)}$ | 0.2573 | 0.3908 | 0.3808 | $3.502 t$ | m(128) | 0.3313 | 0.1530 | 0.2727 | 3.5008 |
| M(10) | 0.3372 | 2.3312 | 0.4415 | 3.5008 | H(154) | 0.2060 | 0.1040 | 0.8498 | 3.5080 |
| $\cdots(24)$ | e.3012 | 0.3263 | 2.1326 | 3.5002 | W(150) | 0.0850 | 0.1239 | 0.8274 | 3.5008 |
| - (28) | 4.4894 | C. 3754 | 8.1731 | 3.5808 | (104) | 0.3073 | 0.8838 | 0.1889 | 3.5002 |
| - (3A) | cisels | 0.3063 | -0.1890 | 3.5800 | M(168) | 0.1884 | 0.0423 | 0.0567 | 3.5000 |
| - (44) | 0.1644 | 0.1474 | -0.0333 | 3.5600 | H(174) | 0.2135 | 0.0498 | 0.3975 | 3.5080 |
| M(40) | 0.8932 | 0.3012 | -0.075 | 3.5088 | m(184) | 0.1276 | 0.1698 | 0.6550 | 3.5082 |
| $\cdots(58)$ | 0.2673 | 0.3774 | 0.2436 | 3.5000 | M(100) | 0.0452 | 0.1025 | 0.6243 | 3.5020 |
| $m(64)$ | -2.8646 | 0.3162 | 0.0725 | 3.5000 | $\cdots(186)$ | 0.2648 | 0.1276 | 0.1030 | 3.5080 |
| M(68) | -0.2669 | 0.3058 | 0.3046 | 3.5084 | m(19A) | 0.8995 | 0.3644 | 0.5536 | 3.5800 |
| M(1A) | 0,0424 | 0.2305 | 0.8286 | 3.5882 | M(198) | 0.0425 | 0.2953 | 0.5452 | 3.5880 |
| -(78) | -0.0736 | 8.2142 | 0.1633 | 3.5006 | M(19C) | 0.1794 | 0.3063 | 8.6358 | 3.5080 |
| $\cdots(88)$ | 0.2428 | 0.2194 | 0.4305 | 3.5000 | M(20) | 0.8895 | 0.0222 | 0.5204 | 3. 5040 |
| $m(g A)$ | 0.2292 | 0.2450 | 0.1786 | 3.5804 | M(2) | -8.0784 | 0.8523 | 0.4121 | 3.5080 |
| M(1:A) | 0.2534 | 0.2291 | C. 5747 | 3.5002 | $\cdots(224)$ | 0.0508 | -0.0573 | 0.3608 | 3. 5000 |
| $m(110)$ | 0.3548 | 0.2442 | 0.4899 | 3.5000 | H(220) | 0.1690 | -0.0383 | 0.2345 | 3.5080 |
| M(12A) | 0.3544 | 0.1421 | 0.5016 | 3.5208 |  |  |  |  |  |

are given in Table I. The crystal structure of each was solved by application of the automatic structure solutions program MULTAN. ${ }^{10}$ The structure solution for 4 a was routine. However, the structure solution of $\mathbf{4 b}$ was facilitated by the application of the negative quartets figure of merit to the multiple solutions. Details of the application of this technique to this structure are given elsewhere. ${ }^{11}$

The atomic coordinates and thermal parameters of the nonhydrogen atoms in each structure were refined using a full-matrix least-squares
procedure first with isotropic temperature factors, then anisotropic temperature factors. Fixed theoretical positions for hydrogens in each structure were calculated and used in the final least-squares cycles. A modified Hughes weighting scheme was used during the leastsquares procedures: ${ }^{12} w=a /\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|$ for $\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right| \geq a ; w=\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right| / a$ for $\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|$ $<a$; the value of $a$ was 12.0 for 4 a and 18.0 for $\mathbf{4 b}$. The final $R=$ $\left(\Sigma\left|\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right|\right) / \Sigma\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|$ factors for all data are 0.077 and 0.079 for $4 \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$, respectively. The final coordinates and anisotropic tem-

Table III. ( $20 R, 21 S$ )-3 $\beta$-Hydroxy-14,21-epoxy-3 $\beta, 14 \beta, 20$-carda nolactam
GTOMIC COOROINATES (STANDARO OEVIATIONS AWE GIVEN IN DARENTMESIS)

| ATOM | x/4 | $7 / 8$ | 216 | 111 | -2e | 831 | 012 | 013 | B23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(1) | 0.7986 (3) | $0.2980(7)$ | $0.2398(4)$ | $0.0049(3)$ | $0.0172(9)$ | 0.0878 (3) | -2,0221(9) | 0.8049 (5) | -9.0035(11) |
| C(2) | $0.0074(4)$ | $0.4987(7)$ | $0.2101(0)$ | 0.0858(3) | $0.0106(10)$ | 0.0067(3) | -0,0032(10) | $0.0023(5)$ | $0.0032(12)$ |
| C(3) | -.8163(0) | $0.5981(6)$ | $0.3339(4)$ | $0.0055(3)$ | 0.0184 ( ${ }^{0}$ | $0.0076(3)$ | -0.0827(9) | $0.0012(5)$ | $0.0043(9)$ |
| C(4) | $0.7103(3)$ | $0.5388(0)$ | $0.4017(4)$ | $0.8043(3)$ | $0.0138(8)$ | $0.8049(3)$ | -8,8881 (9) | $0.8016(5)$ | -0.0828(10) |
| C(5) | 0.7131(5) | $0.3436(6)$ | 0.4220(3) | $0.0048(2)$ | 0.011787 | $0.8054(3)$ | -0.0018(8) | $0.8009(5)$ | $0.8022(8)$ |
| C(6) | $0.1136(4)$ | - 2996(7) | $0.4461(4)$ | $0.0054(3)$ | $0.0221(10)$ | $0.0052(3)$ | -8.006)(10) | $0.0021(5)$ | 2.0207(11) |
| ( 7 ( | 0.4929 (3) | $0.3300(9)$ | 0.1203(3) | 4.0049(3) | $0.2192(9)$ | 6.0650 (3) | -8.0838(10) | $0.8034(5)$ | -0.0837(12) |
| C(8) | 0.4739(3) | $0.2335(6)$ | $0.3127(3)$ | 6.0044 (3) | 0.0110 (7) | $0.0045(3)$ | -0.0015 ( 7) | 0.0030 (4) | -0.0009 (8) |
| C(0) | $0.5727(3)$ | $0.2779(0)$ | $0.2360(3)$ | 0.0844 (3) | 0.0118 (7) | $0.0055(3)$ | -0.0810 (8) | $0.8238(4)$ | -0.0017(8) |
| C(10) | $0.6969(3)$ | $0.2421(0)$ | $0.3048(4)$ | $0.0042(3)$ | 0.8188 (7) | 0.0848 (3) | $0.8088(8)$ | 0.8023 (5) | $0.0001(9)$ |
| c (11) | $0.5503(4)$ | $0.1874(7)$ | $0.1101(3)$ | $0.0065(3)$ | $0.0199(10)$ | $0.0056(3)$ | -0.0267(10) | $0.8050(5)$ | -0.2072 (11) |
| C(12) | $0.4207(0)$ | $0.2259(8)$ | $0.0523(4)$ | 0.0867(3) | $0.0233(11)$ | 0.0054(3) | -0.0079(11) | $0.0042(5)$ | -0.0042(11) |
| C (13) | 0.3288(4) | Q.1737(7) | $0.1255(3)$ | $0.0059(3)$ | $0.0159(8)$ | $0.0042(3)$ | -0.0051 (9) | 0.0017 (5) | -2.0016 (9) |
| C(14) | 8.3514 (3) | 0.2653 | $0.2450(3)$ | 0.0044 (2) | $0.0100(7)$ | $0.8045(3)$ | -4.0018 (8) | 0.0037 (4) | a, eoen ( 5 ) |
| C(15) | $0.3187(4)$ | $0.0544(7)$ | $0.2168(4)$ | $0.8858(3)$ | $0.0137(8)$ | $0.0413(4)$ | 0.8086 ( 9) | 3.001: 6 ( ${ }^{0}$ ) | 0.8010 (10) |
| C(1b) | $0.2321(4)$ | $0.4511(8)$ | $0.1833(4)$ | 0.0818 (4) | $0.0200(10)$ | $0.0012(4)$ | $0.0010(12)$ | -0.0401 (0) | $0.8063(12)$ |
| C(1) | 0.2088 (4) | $0.2586(7)$ | $0.0777(3)$ | 0.0006(3) | $0.0 t 98(10)$ | $0.0048(3)$ | -2.0226(18) | 0.0207 (5) | e.e日2s (10) |
| C(18) | $0.3284(4)$ | -0.0233(7) | $0.1357(4)$ | 0.88613) | 0.8163 (9) | 0.0480 (4) | -0.0876(10) | $0.0216(6)$ | -0.0865(11) |
| C(19) | $0.7101(0)$ | $0.0463(7)$ | $0.3301(5)$ | 0.0019(4) | $0.0898(8)$ | $0.0139(5)$ | $0.0802(11)$ | $0.0033(8)$ | -2.0016(13) |
| C(20) | $0.12 v e(3)$ | $0.1493(8)$ | $0.1476(4)$ | $0.0042(3)$ | 0.0233 (10) | $0.0059(3)$ | -0.0031(18) | $0.8024(5)$ | $0.0022(11)$ |
| C(21) | $0.1473(3)$ | $0.2117(7)$ | $0.2829(4)$ | 0.8238(3) | 0.8190 (18) | 0.2866 (3) | -0.cose (9) | 0.0019 (5) | $0.0019(11)$ |
| C (22) | $0.0578(4)$ | 0.0164 (8) | 2.1265(4) | $8.8051(3)$ | 4.0284(13) | $0.8079(4)$ | -0.0893 (11) | $0.0010(\mathrm{t})$ | $-8.8236(13)$ |
| C(23) | $0.0542(4)$ | -0.0548(9) | $0.2485(4)$ | $0.8005(3)$ | $0.8291(13)$ | 0.0694 (4) | -0.0100(11) | $0.0220(t)$ | 0.002 c (19) |
| N(21) | $0.6900(3)$ | $0.0043(6)$ | $0.3286(3)$ | $0.8039(2)$ | $0.8229(9)$ | $0.0872(3)$ | -0.8848( B) | $0.0028(4)$ | 0.8 es7(12) |
| 0(38) | $0.9261(2)$ | $0.5503(5)$ | 2.4873(3) | $0.0049(2)$ | $0.0192(0)$ | $0.0082(2)$ | -8.0048 ( 7) | $0.0011(4)$ | 0.0006 ( 8) |
| $0(141)$ | 2.2710(2) | 0.1985 (4) | 0.320t(2) | $0.2040(2)$ | $0.8165(6)$ | $0.0049(2)$ | -0.0028( 0 ) | $0.0029(3)$ | $0.0828(7)$ |
| $0(23)$ | 0.c204(4) | -0.2001(6) | $0.2688(4)$ | 0.8144(4) | 0.8288 (9) | $0.8151(0)$ | -0.0260(9) | $0.0880(7)$ | 0.2015 (12) |
| 110 m | 1/4 | 1/6 | 210 | 8130 | ATOM | x/1 | Y/8 | 210 | 0150 |
| M(11) | 0.7896 | 0.2360 | 2.1517 | 3.5000 | m(120) | 0.4140 | 2.1501 | -0.0292 | 3.5020 |
| M(1B) | 0.8805 | 0.2529 | 0.2451 | 3.5082 | M(154) | 0.3963 | 0.5276 | 0.2834 | 3.5088 |
| M(2a) | 0.7329 | 0.5343 | 0.1626 | 3.5808 | M(15B) | 0.2789 | 0.5122 | E.231! | 3.5008 |
| M (28) | 2.8842 | 0.5185 | 0.1780 | 3.5000 | W(164) | 0.2692 | 0.5126 | 0.8324 | 3.5008 |
| $\mathrm{M}(34)$ | 2.8287 | 0.7251 | 8.3143 | 3.5080 | m(168) | 0.1515 | 0.5167 | 0.1168 | 3.5008 |
| m(a4) | 0.6337 | 0.5801 | 0.3527 | 3.5000 | H(19) | 0.1865 | 0.2361 | -0.0153 | 3.5802 |
| W(48) | 8.7279 | 0.6040 | 0.4859 | 3.5080 | M(184) | 0.4127 | -0.0650 | 0.1793 | 3.5002 |
| M (5b) | 0.7946 | 0.3057 | 0.4720 | 3.5008 | M (180) | 0.2598 | -0.0605 | 0.1871 | 3.5000 |
| m(ta) | 0.6292 | 0.3712 | 0.5755 | 3.5480 | M(18C) | 0.3110 | -8.877 | 0.8492 | 3.5002 |
| - (6) | 4.0288 | 0.1658 | 0.5226 | 3.5000 | (191) | 0.3011 | 0.8276 | 0.3817 | 3.5208 |
| (1) | d.4828 | 0.4672 | 0.4188 | 3.5000 | *(198) | 0.6477 | -0.0010 | 0.3779 | 3.5000 |
| - (78) | 2.4271 | 0.2911 | 0.4832 | 3.5086 | M(196) | 0.7128 | -8.8209 | 0.2472 | 3.5808 |
| - (80) | 0.4886 | 0.0478 | 0.3333 | 3.5080 | M(20) | 0.8312 | 0.2885 | 0.1261 | 3.5820 |
| - (9A) | 3.5073 | 0.4143 | 0.2218 | 3.5008 | M(2) | 0.1159 | 0.3306 | 0.3144 | 3. 5082 |
| $m(1 \mid A)$ | 0.6146 | 0.2315 | 0.0429 | 3.5000 | M(22) | 0.1119 | -0.0010 | 0.8173 | 3. 5008 |
| M(1! ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ( | e. 5684 | 0.0502 | 0.1296 | 3. 5888 | M(220) | 0.0303 | 0.8298 | 0.8779 | 3.5004 |
| - (124) | 0.6198 | 0.3616 | 0.0352 | 3.5800 |  |  |  |  |  |

perature factors are given in Tables II and III. A list of observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes are given in Tables IV and $V$ (see Supplementary Material).

## Results and Discussion

The crystallographically observed molecular structures of the two lactam derivatives $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$ are shown in Figure 3 OrtEP ${ }^{13}$ drawings. The bond lengths and valence angles shown on Figure 4 a and 4 b are all within the range of values found in other steroid molecules of this type (see Supplementary Material). The average estimated standard deviations are 0.005 and $0.006 \AA$ in the bond lengths and 0.2 and $0.3^{\circ}$ in the bond angles for derivatives $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$, respectively. Figure 4 c shows the intra-ring torsion angles.

Figure 5 shows the configuration of $\mathrm{C}(20)$ and $\mathrm{C}(21)$ to be $(20 S, 21 R)$ for the major lactam derivative (4a) and ( $20 R, 21 S$ ) for the minor lactam derivative (4b). These configurations correspond to the cis-equatorial-axial and cis-axial-equatorial configuration for $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$, respectively, described earlier for the possible structures of isodigitoxigenin (3). ${ }^{14}$ Since spectral studies indicate that the major lactam derivative and isodigitoxigenin are configurationally equivalent, isodigitoxigenin must also have the cis-equatorial-axial configuration at $\mathrm{C}(20)$ and $\mathrm{C}(21)$.

The $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C rings in both derivatives all have chair conformations, and the corresponding rings in each structure are nearly the same despite dissimilar packing environments. The D rings in each structure also have very similar C(13) $\alpha$-envelope conformations. The pseudo-rotational parameters ${ }^{15}$ $\Delta$ and $\phi_{\mathrm{m}}$ are 39.8 and $-48.7^{\circ}$ for 4 a and 49.0 and $-47.8^{\circ}$ for 4b.

The E rings in each structure have highly distorted chair conformations. Both structures have very large intra-ring


$$
\text { a) The (20S, 21R) Structure, } 4 \mathbf{a}
$$



## b) The (20R,21S) Structure, 4b

Figure 3. The ORTEP ${ }^{13}$ drawings of the major (4a) and minor (4b) lactam derivatives.
torsion angles involving $\mathrm{C}(13)$ and relatively small torsion angles involving $C(20)$ and $C(21)$. Distortions of this type are partially caused by the steric interactions between $C(20)$ and $C(21)$ of the $E$ ring and $C(15)$ and $C(16)$ of the $D$ ring. The distance between $\mathrm{C}(20)$ and $\mathrm{C}(16)$ are 2.561 and $2.424 \AA$ for $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$. The corresponding distances between $\mathrm{C}(21)$ and C(15) are 3.026 and $2.758 \AA$.


$C(21) \rightarrow O(14)$


Figure 6. Superposition of $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{4 b}$, and digitoxigenin (light lines).

Table VI. Hydrogen Bonds

| Hydrogen bonded <br> atoms | Distance, $\AA$ | Symmetry operator |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| 4a crystal structure <br> $\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~B}) \cdots \mathrm{N}(21)$ | 3.068 | $1 / 2+x, 1 / 2-y,-z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~B}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{W})$ | 2.711 | $x, y,-1+z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~B}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{W})$ | 2.891 | $1 / 2+x, \frac{3}{2}-y,-1-z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(23) \cdots \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{W})$ | 2.775 | $-x,-1 / 2+y, 1 / 2-z$ |
| 4 b crystal structure |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~B}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(23)$ | 2.782 | $1+x, 1+y, z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~B}) \cdots \mathrm{N}(21)$ | 3.060 | $1-x, 1 / 2+y, 1-z$ |

structure of compound $\mathbf{4 b}$. This research was supported by the United States Public Health Service Grant No. CA-10906 (to W.L.D.) and HL-09578 (to M.E.W.).

Supplementary Material Available: A listing of observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes for ( $20 S, 2 \mid R$ )-3 3 -hydroxy-14,21-epoxy-5 $\beta, 14 \beta, 20$-cardanolactam (4a) hydrate and ( $20 R, 21 S$ )-3 3 -hydroxy-14,21-epoxy- $5 \beta, 14 \beta, 20$-cardanolactam ( 4 b ) (Tables IV and V) and Figure 4 showing (a) the bond lengths, (b) the bond angles, and (c) the intra-ring torsion angles of 4 (top number) and $\mathbf{4 b}$ (bottom values) ( 23 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.
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